

# THE ROLE OF PRIMES OF GOOD REDUCTION IN THE BRAUER–MANIN OBSTRUCTION

Margherita Pagano

Leiden University

20 April 2023



Universiteit Leiden

# Introduction

Let  $k$  be a number field and  $\Omega_k$  be the set of places of  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral  $k$ -variety. We are interested in understanding the set  $X(k)$  of  $k$ -points on  $X$ . For every  $\nu \in \Omega_k$  we have

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow X(k_\nu).$$

Hence,

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu).$$

**Question:** what does the image of  $X(k)$  in  $\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$  look like?

# Weak approximation

## Definition

We say that  $X$  satisfies *weak approximation* if the image

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$$

is dense.

## (Counter)example

1. The projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  satisfy weak approximation.
2.  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$  smooth projective quadric satisfies weak approximation.
3. Selmer's example: the projective variety defined by the equation  $3x^3 + 4y^3 + 5z^3 = 0$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_\nu$ -point for every place  $\nu \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}$  but does not admit a rational point.

Manin has shown that it is possible to use the Brauer group to build a **closed** subset  $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$  that contains  $X(k)$ . Hence,

$$X(k) \subseteq \overline{X(k)} \subseteq \left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu).$$

Idea:  $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}}$  is easier to describe than  $\overline{X(k)}$ .

## Brauer–Manin obstruction

We say that there is a *Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation* on  $X$  if  $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subsetneq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$ .

## Construction of the B-M set: the evaluation map

Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X)$ , then for every place  $\nu \in \Omega_k$  we get an induced map  $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , called the **evaluation map**:

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\nu}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The Brauer–Manin set,  $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_{\nu})\right)^{\text{Br}}$ , is defined as:

$$\left\{ (x_{\nu}) \in \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_{\nu}) \mid \sum_{\nu \in \Omega_k} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{\nu}) = 0, \forall \mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X) \right\}.$$

## Places involved in the Brauer–Manin obstruction

Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_k$  be such that

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is non-constant, then there is a Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation (and we say that the place  $\omega$  plays a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation).

**Proof.**

Pick

$$(x_{\nu}), (y_{\nu}) \in \prod_{\nu} X(k_{\nu}) \text{ such that } \begin{cases} x_{\nu} = y_{\nu} \text{ for all } \nu \neq \omega, \\ \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{\omega}) \neq \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(y_{\omega}). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sum_{\nu} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{\nu}) \neq \sum_{\nu} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(y_{\nu}).$$



# Swinnerton-Dyer's question

Let  $X$  be such that  $\text{Pic}(X \times_k \bar{k})$  is torsion-free and finitely generated. Let  $S \subseteq \Omega_k$  be a finite set of places consisting of the archimedean places and the places of bad reduction for  $X$ .

## Question

Is it true that the only places that play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation on  $X$  are the places of bad reduction and the archimedean places?

## Previous works

### Theorem [Colliot-Thélène–Skorobogatov]

Assume that  $\omega \in \Omega_k$  is a non archimedean place of good reduction whose residue characteristic does not divide the order of  $\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}_1(X)$ . Then for every  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X)$

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is constant.

### Theorem [Bright-Newton 2020]

Assume that  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime at which  $X$  has good ordinary reduction. Then there exist a finite extension  $L/k$  such that there is an element  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X_L)$  whose evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(L_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_{\mathfrak{p}'})$$

is non-constant.



# K3 surfaces

## Definition

A *K3 surface* over a number field  $k$  is a smooth, geometrically integral, 2-dimensional  $k$ -variety such that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X.$$

- ▶ The Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X \times_k \bar{k})$  is always torsion-free and finitely generated (hypothesis in S-D question ✓).
- ▶  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$  (hypothesis in B-N theorem ✓).

## Example

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$  be the K3 surface defined by the equation

$$x^3y + y^3z + z^3w + w^3x + xyzw = 0.$$

$X$  has good ordinary reduction at the prime 2 and the following theorem holds true.

### Theorem (P.)

*The class of the quaternion algebra*

$$\mathcal{A} = \left( \frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}, -\frac{z}{x} \right) \in \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}(X))$$

*defines an element in  $\text{Br}(X)$ . The evaluation map  $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$  is non-constant.*

## Work in progress

### Theorem (Bright–Newton 2020)

Let  $X/k$  be a K3 surface and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of good reduction for  $X$ . If  $e_{\mathfrak{p}} < p - 1$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation.

### Theorem (P.)

Let  $X/k$  be a K3 surface and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of good *ordinary* reduction for  $X$ . If  $(p - 1) \nmid e_{\mathfrak{p}}$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation.

### Theorem (P.)

Let  $X/k$  be a K3 surface and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of good *non-ordinary* reduction for  $X$ . If  $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq p - 1$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation.

*Thank you for the attention!*